EXHIBIT B

```
08C8ASCms.txt
                                                                                                                        1
          08C8ASCms
          UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
  1
2
2
          SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
  3
          UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
  445566778
                                     Plaintiff,
                                                                                  41-1395 (WCC)
          AMERICAN SOCIETY OF COMPOSERS,
          AUTHORS AND PUBLISHERS, et al.
                                     Defendants.
 8
9
9
                                                                                 White Plains, N.Y. December 8, 2008
10
10
11
12
12
13
13
14
14
                                                                                  10:00 a.m.
          Before:
                                      THE HONORABLE WILLIAM C. CONNER,
                                                                          District Judge
                                                         APPEARANCES
          WHITE & CASE
16
16
17
                  Attorneys for Defendant ASCAP
          CHRISTOPHER GLANCY
          STEFAN MENTZER
18
          RICHARD H. REIMER
18
19
19
                   Attorney for Defendant ASCAP
20
20
21
          WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP
Attorneys for Applicants Yahoo! and RealNetworks
          KENNETH L. STEINTHAL
21
22
22
23
23
          HARRIS COHEN
          WINSTON & STRAWN, LLP
          Attorneys for Applicant Yahoo!
THOMAS P. LANE
DANIEL C. NEUSTADT
24
25
                                        MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
          08C8ASCms
         THE COURT: Good morning.

I have read and considered your very voluminous submissions on the proposed judgments.

I think you've made every argument that you're likely to make for and against the several proposed judgments. And I think the most expeditious way to proceed would be to announce at the outset my tentative decision on all of the disputed issues and then give you a chance to tell me why I ought not to
         issues and then give you a chance to tell me why I ought not to make a grievous mistake. Or if you simply can't suppress a cry
```

Page 1

D

П

П

08C8ASCms

08C8ASCms.txt 25 music use adjustment factor for SuperPass should be computed on MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR (914) 390-4027 9 08C8ASCms a monthly rather than an annual basis. According to my computation, which I hope you will check, the music use adjustment factor for 2006 computed on a monthly basis, is percent. Applying that factor to 2004 and 2005 revenues would result in SuperPass fees for 2004 of and for 2005 fees of . But, of course, you should check my calculations. 8 Now, there is one other important open issue, and 9 10 that's the supersedeas bond. At the hearing on July 1st, I indicated that I believed it was unnecessary to require the posting of a bond to stay execution of a judgment pending appeal, because there appeared to be only a negligible risk that any of the applicants would be unable to pay the judgment. Of course, since that time there has been an unforcemental and 11 12 13 14 since that time, there has been an unforeseeable and precipitous drop in the economy. And I therefore now believe there is no justification for excusing the customary requirement of a supersedeas bond in the amount of the 15 16 17 18 19 20 judgment One final issue is that of auditing.
Yahoo, in their proposed final judgment, has included provisions for auditing that I think are unnecessary, onerous and perhaps unfair. I see nothing wrong with the auditing provisions contained in ASCAP's proposed judgment, and I would be inclined to adopt these unless combody are recorded. 21 22 23 24 25 be inclined to adopt those, unless somebody can persuade me MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR (914) 390-4027 10 08C8ASCms otherwise. Now, what I would suggest, after I've heard your screams of anguish, is that ASCAP revise their proposed final judgment to incorporate fees based upon the rulings that I've 4 5 made, and that they do that within one week and they submit a copy of it to the applicants. And they will have one week to tell me -- not to re-argue any of the points of law, as argument is going to be closed at the end of business today -- merely to tell me whether ASCAP has failed to follow the merely to tell me whether ASCAP has failed to follow the rulings, or has been guilty of mathematical errors. And then ASCAP will have a day or two in which to respond.

I should have everything by the time I get back, which is January the 5th. And I will sign the judgment on that day, and your time for appeal will start running, if anybody is still disposed to appeal.

All right. The floor is open.

MR. STEINTHAL: Judge, before we get into screams of anguish or whatever other reactions, there was one issue with RealNetworks and ASCAP that your Honor's tentative rulings did not address, which is the category of revenues that ASCAP 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 not address, which is the category of revenues that ASCAP continued to put into top line revenue relating to music download sales and hardware and software sales, which is not in the revenue base that we had proposed.

THE COURT: They should not be included. 23 24 I think you're right. I think I didn't comment on those, but I had
MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027

Page 5

11